G. R. S. [X-5]

Previous references.

Mul 14-05

A 46-94

Later references.

1900-01

191

(Mul)

File No. 15 8

Serial Nos. 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 12

Majr ~~Part~~ B for January 02

SUBJECT.

Levying Tolls on Tongas conveying mails with private passengers as well

---

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-15

Memo

The Dy Comr of Hadeen states that upon the representation of the Poste Supt, he issued orders exempting Jaktas carrying T6. ells mails ~~from~~ payment of ~~cost~~ tolls through the Tadasa Bridge ~~last dockets~~ ~~private~~ ~~passengers~~, ~~when~~ ~~long~~ ~~portion~~ ~~from~~ ~~Jaktas~~ ~~redeem~~ ~~carry~~ ~~passengers~~ ~~or cargo~~ ~~parcels~~ etc the Jaktas, which carry mails, also carry private passengers, the Dy Comr requests the decision of Govt as to whether the Govt contracts should not be called

from payment of Tolls

Subd to the Secy
Res
16/11/07.

Comr for Camp & Hadeen
Dy 21/11/01

we are ? to deal with this in the absence of the file of the Peshawar order called ? I can understand the mail not reaching my letter to Camp by rail - why should it not be sent ? ? Why is this matter dealt with the L.B.B. which is not seen to have anything to do with it ? ? ?
- 27-11-07.

Stamp paper

effort to pay a normal monthly toll of about Rs 10 to cover the item

2 It is submitted that in a similar case, we have the most carrying contractor, who conveyed passengers also in the canal barges, exemption was allowed irrespective of the fact that in addition to the meals the barges carried private goods or passengers

3. Hence the DG (... has held that exemption should be allowed in the case of Jawans carrying meals, notwithstanding the fact that they carry

Proc to 13-6-63 dt 16-6-66
no 927 94
H/Hq u Sector I

3 private passengers rules

Submitted for orders

OMG 10/11

4 It may be said that in a similar case, Govt have already ruled that mail bags are exempt from paying any tolls, and + in the present case also therefore the same exemption should continue.

Sd/-

12/11/00.

Sub to 1st Councillor

RD 7/11.
13 XI.00

5. 1st Councillor's order:-

Ask the Dy: Commr to call upon the ~~~~ Tonga owner to show cause why under the circumstances described by him tolls should not be levied from him. The Dy: Commr of Mysore may ascertain the usage in Coorg from the contractor in Mysore and report. Ask him to do so, and ~~~~ also to the Dy: Commr of Kadur to ascertain the practice obtaining there, if ascertainable

from the contractor or from
Postal authorities

(sd.) P N K
14.11.00

6 Information was accordingly
called for in Sec'l 16

7. In reply the Karbari
Dy Comm'r states in that the
patka owner explains that,
as he is allowed by the Postal
Deptt to carry passengers
in the mail patka he is
not liable to pay toll, & that
on British Territory the
mail contractor enters into
an agreement with the L F
Board and pays a fixed
sum monthly, and 3, that
the fourteen abtaining in
British Territory, may
with

vide letter from Supdt. P/S
Post Office, Khunoja Division
No 126 Dt. 21-12-1900
7x

with advantage be followed in Mysore, the amount payable by the contractor being fixed at Rs 10/- per month

* He, however, adds that, as the Yadava bridge is on the Provincial road the income from the toll-gate is credited to Provincial Fund and that the L.F. Board has nothing to do with it.

8. The Dy: Comr: of the Mysore District states that, as ascertained from Mr: Pallonjee, the road contractor no toll is levied in Coorg on bullocks

conveying mails with private passengers as well

Submitted for orders.

8/1/01

Read 7/1

Subd to the Secy Res 14/1/01

Rect: 21/1

9 On a previous occasion (viz in the case of the Dongas Rebellion) when Government took over (only) the least that we could – how to mails they carried passengers, did not relieve them liable to the payment of tolls – The information now obtained is not quite complete or inconsistent – Let us get further information from the Residency Kuria Mu Daryaniya & from Persian Gulf Ports on Ligar has noted no contracts &c Nos 13 & 14 that Companies of this kind are exempted from tolls both in British Territory and in Native States such as Hyderabad & Kashmir – 3/2/01.

Submitted

10 From the reply now received from the Resident[x] it appears that in Coorg vehicles actually carrying mails are exempt even if goods or passengers be carried in addition, and that no distinction is drawn between Imperial and Local Fund roads in this respect

Letter No 2512 0721-5 dt

11 As regards the practice obtaining in the Madras Presidency a copy of the letter from the Secretary to the Govt of Madras in the L. & M Deptt has been transmitted, wherein it is stated that, under section 87 (3) of the Madras Local Boards' Act V of 1884 no

were

passage of "Govt. stores and equipages" — an expression stated to cover jutkas and tongas belonging to the Postal Department, that as the tolls are leviable on vehicles and animals and not on commodities, the vehicles of the Postal Department were exempt from tolls whether they carried mails alone or passengers and goods in addition, and that as the words "Govt. stores and equipages" have been omitted in the Amending Act of 1900, which came into force on 1st April 1903, jutkas and tongas carrying mails are no longer exempt from tolls. It is

further

further stated that in Mad[ras]
Presidency, as in Coorg, no
distinction is drawn in this
matter between tolls levied on
Local Board roads and on
roads maintained by Govt.
Submitted for orders.
OL.
28-6-01

12. The point for consideration
whether we should adopt
the Coorg system as was
already done in a similar
case (Vide Serial No 14 of
Section I of G.O. No 27 of 1894)
or introduce the new
system adopted in the
Madras Presidency since
April 1901. Submitted for orders,
JB
1/6/1901

13 If the Postal Department
is not likely to raise
any objection, I am

do not see why the exemption should be continued. Draft a letter to the Resy, quoting Madras precedent & say that we propose to levy tolls, and that the N. M. G's views thereon may be ascertained & why they should not be levied & submit to the Govt the 3rd C.Z. As the qu is one of prov. we can also ask them to be dealt with in the Delhi Durbar

1/7/1907

Drafted Mad 25/6

Recd 27/6/07 (79)

Approved. 2/7.

Submitted to the Govan

3-7-1907

Letter No 2.7-1/23742
14 Aug. 1901 to the 1st Asst
Resident

Mr. Chief Secretary's confdl. No.

14 Information having accordingly been sought for through the Residency, the Post Master Genl states that if tolls are levied on mail tongas, the mail contractor Mr. Pallonjee who conveys mails between Mysore and Mercara will in all probability either require an additional subsidy from Govt or relinquish the contract, 12, that the measure would also have the effect of keeping back other persons who may otherwise be willing to convey mails in juttkas or tongas under contract with the Postal department, 13, that as the subsidy usually given does not much exceed the cost of the existing runners establishment, it has always been a matter of great difficulty to get contractors

for

for the conveyance of mails in localities where they have to pay tolls, and ~~that~~ accordingly he is addressing the Govt of Madras in view to these contractors being exempted from paying tolls. He further adds that the Govt of Bombay have in their Notn no 82 of the 7th June of P.W.D. exempted all vehicles and animals employed on H.M's mail service whether actually carrying mails or not from paying tolls, and that the same procedure is reported to be in force in Bengal, Punjab and North West Provinces. ~~Under these circumstances he asks for a similar exemption in the Mysore Provinces.~~

and requests the Resident to move the Darbar to issue a similar exemption in Mysore Province

* Notn copy put up - vide para 8.

~~Endorsing for orders~~

In forwarding copy to the Post Master General the 1st Asst Resident requests that the Resident

may be informed of the action which the Darbar propose to take in this matter.

Submitted for orders

bld
5-9 01

15- In reply to the Dewan's query on the Residency letter no 4205 of the 28th August 1907, as to whether there are no provincial tolls also, it is submitted that the income from certain frontier tolls-gas is credited to Provincial and Distt: Funds in equal proportion The collections made on the T. Narasipur and Yadatore bridges are credited entirely to Distt: Funds and those on Yadava, Belur, Hariharpur and Balehannur bridges are to State Funds. There are several other places in the Province in which the tolls

are credited to Provincial funds

[illegible]
990 Submitted for orders.

16 The 1st Assistant Resident may, if approved, be requested to intimate to the Durbar the result of the reference which the Post Master General proposes to make to the Madras Government & the existing practice continued till then.

[illegible]
7/9/01

[illegible]

To the 3rd Councillor

[illegible] 23.9.01

[illegible] 23/9

Draft [illegible]

17 We may defer taking further action in the matter until the decision of the Madras Govt. is known. The information may be called for then thro' the Residency. 24/9

Submitted to the Dewan
with a draft of
a letter to the Resi-
dency for approval.

25/9

2020
26/9/01

17 From the Post master
General's letter no C. R 7-1 dy
37446
the 5th November 1901, now
received through the Residency,
it appears that the Madras
Govt declined to ~~[illegible]~~
legislate for the exemption
of mail carts from paying
tolls, as suggested by him.
He, however, requests the
Resident, under the cir-
cumstances explained in
para 14 of the memo, to
move the Darbar to exempt
the mail carts from paying

Memo. No 5619 & 15th November 1901

holds as is the case in Bombay, Bengal, Punjab and north West Provinces

Submitted for orders

DrJ 20/11/07

The grounds urged for exemption are noted in para 14 supra. The question is, whether the ~~present~~ exemption allowed as per Notification (Serial No 12) should continue & be extended to the other parts of the Province, or whether the present exemption should be withdrawn as was done by the Madras Government.

Subd to 3rd Councillor for orders.

DJ

23/11/1907

2.1.07
(J.G.)

I have followed
Mr Lyttelton's
& the Duke
of Devonshire's
suggestions
Mr. Herbert,
Consent
& may be
communicated,
the decision of
the Cabinet.

4/12

Sent to the Duke 4/12/02

Rec
4.12.01
2596

3068
Having regard to
inconvenient
you to Windsor
will be allowed
for enlistment, but it
be clearly understood that
the Govt reserve full liberty
to withdraw the exemption
at any time without assigning
reasons Orders are being sent
to the Yeomanry with a copy of this

51.02

Draft ordered to Reply

1 6/4

Draft sent 8/4

A.S. to Min 7/4

4678 / 15 L 721

29.X.00 1900-1901

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 27 } October 1900
Despatched
Received

General No. 276
No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting to obtain Govt. sanction as to whether toll is to be recovered from Govt. Mail Contractors on the Bhadra bridge when passengers also are carried in the mail gutties

All the Depts. call upon the Taluq owners to show cause in writing under the Rules described why a toll should not be levied from them. The Dy. Court of Mysore may obtain a report from the Taluq authorities in Mysore, Nursipur, Kadur & Tarikere also the Dy. Court of Kadur may ascertain the practice elsewhere if any & ascertain from the Contractors upon the Postal authorities

L. Dy. Cr.
29/10/00

Put up perpetual Govt. & Postal Mail contractors of Coorg dtd 29/11/00

Deputy Commissioner's office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 27th October 1900

H. H Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner

To

The Secretary to the Government
Of Mysore, General and Revenue
Depts

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that upon the representation of the Postal Superintendant, I issued orders to the Deputy Amildar, Gedehalli, to pass jutkas carrying Her Majesty's mails free of cost through the Tadasa Toll gate; but he now reports that the jutkas carrying mails also carry passengers and asks for orders

2. The Rules lay down that all Government property should be passed free, but as private passengers who pay jutka fares cannot be considered Government property, I would ask you to be so good as to obtain a decision from Government as to whether the Government mail-contractor should not be called upon to pay a nominal monthly

Toll

toll of, say, Rs 10/- to cover this item.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

H. H. Parker
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Rml

There has been great delay in drafting this. Draft should have been awarded 19/11/00

Read - The paper was received on 15th and draft submitted on 17th Nov

Letter No. 276 dated the 27th Octr. 1900 from the President of the D. T. Bd. Kadur Distt. soliciting instructions in the matter of levying tolls from mail contractors, whenever their pattkal conveying mails through the Tadasa Toll gate convey private passengers as well.

RL 924.

7093-94
254-92

Order.

The President is requested to call upon the pattka owner in question to show cause why, ~~tolls~~ under the circumstances represented in the letter read above, tolls should not

I myself inspected the Toll on 15/11/00
Kadur 2nd 38 of 21/12/00

not be levied from him

He is further requested to

ascertain the practice

prevailing elsewhere if

the same is ascertainable

from the contractor or the Postal ?

~~Postal~~ authorities.

2. The Dy: Comr: of

the Mysore District will

be good enough to ascertain ~~and report~~

the usage in force from

the contractor in Mysore

and report the same to

Govt:.

Ans
19/11/07

For Offg Secy

Ans 17 11 07

To

The Presidt: D.2 Bd: Kadur

Dy: Comrs: Mysore District

6750
20.XII.00 L1104

189

From The President
Local Fund Boards Mysore
visited

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated } December 1900
Despatched }
Received }

General No. 25 80
No. 17 254-9

Enclosure No.

Reporting that no toll is levied in Coorg on bridges conveying mails with private passengers as well.

L7
20.12.00

L B Bor:-
L7-254-99 Coorg Postal Dept.

AnO
22/12/00

May probably be given
the concession
AD
26.XII.00

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF
LOCAL FUND BOARDS, MYSORE DISTRICT

Enclosure

No. 580
Dated 18th Decr. 1900.

From

The President,
Local Fund Boards,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of Government order No. 7093-94 / L. F. 254-92 of the 21st November 1900, I have the honor to state that Mr. Pallonjee, the mail Contractor, reports that no toll is levied in Coorg on long as Conveying mails with private passengers in cart.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
For President
Local Fund Boards Mysore District

7197
4-1-01

(General Serial No. 30.)

1900-1901 L1179

From the Deputy Commissioner Kadua District

To the Secretary to the Govt of Nyasa Legal & Revenue Dept

Dated 31st December 1900

Despatched 2nd January 1901

Received

General No. 881 } 1900-1
No.

Enclosures Number. /

Reporting further re the toll to be recovered from the mail Contractor of the Zambezi - Kafpa road

L 4
4/1/01

A S Ch- 3/1/01

Read Memo Contd
7/1/01

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 31st December 1900.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. 7093-94 / L.F.254-92 of the 21st November 1900, I have the honor to state that the Intka owner Explains that as he is allowed by the Postal Department to carry passengers in the mail intka he is not liable to pay toll.

2. As regards the practice prevailing Elsewhere, I beg to forward for the information

of

of Government a copy of letter, No 126, of the 27th instant, received from the Superintendent of Post Offices, Shimoga Division, from which it will be observed that in British Territory the mail contractor enters into an agreement with the Local Fund Board and pays a fixed sum monthly.

3. I am of opinion that the practice obtaining in British Territory may with advantage be followed in Mysore. The reasons mentioned by the Jutka owner for not paying toll are not sufficient to grant an Exemption.

4. For the above reasons, I would recommend that

As I am in

portion of my letter No 276 of the 25th October 1900, the Jutka owner may be called upon to pay a monthly toll of Rs 10/-, which, I think, is very reasonable.

5. The Sadina bridge is constructed across the Nadra on the Karwar-Mangalore road, which is a Provincial Fund road, and, as such, the income from the toll-gate is credited to Provincial Funds. The Local Fund Board has nothing to do with it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kadra Canara

From

The Superintendent

Shimoga Division

To

The Deputy Commissioner

of Kadur

CR 126 Dated 27-12-1940

Sir,

In reply to your No 914 dated 14-12-1940, I have the honour to inform you that it is the practice in British Territory for Jutka mail Contractors to enter into an agreement with Local Fund Boards and toll gate Contractors and pay a fixed sum monthly. There is a Jutka Service similar to the one between Tarikere and Koppa, between Sakleshpur and Alur the mail Contractor runs two Jutkas daily in each direction, 4 Jutkas in all daily, and he pays Rs 4/ a month only

I have &c

Sd/ A Wilson

Superintendent Postal

Offices Shimoga Division

"Certified"

Sd/ [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner

of Kadur

RL 1308 11

No. 9767 dt 4/4/01.
L.T. 254-92

To

The First Asst
~~Resident~~ to the
Resident in Mysore

From the same
25 12 dt 21/5/01 L 2174

Sir

A question having
arisen whether Jatkas
& Dangis carrying
~~sugar~~ Sugarcane Upon roads
in addition to which
are liable to the pay-
-ment of tolls on
roads & bridges in
Mysore like every
other vehicle, I am
desired to request
the favor of Government
-ly for the Honorable
Court the Resident
in this matter in
(1)ite the Madras Rent
-Decree Act (7) in Comp.
I beg also to be in-
-formed whether the Ryots
~~the Jatkas & Dangis~~
~~of the above~~
~~Taluks~~

~~whose surveyed~~ ~~the~~ there
~~located on the road~~
~~place~~ is no way of
the about place a
difference between boys as ~~of~~ adults of the above description
~~Port Angeles~~ — from Olympia —
~~The~~ the present road
~~from Olympia~~
~~Road and Local~~ District
Trunk Roads, the
former being excepted
and the ~~other~~ latter not.

Thanks

Q

for above
Ref 3/2

3/210

Rec'd
4/2/01
Bu

L 2124

13614 1901
22-5-01

From The 1st Asst. Resdt

Pol: 1864.

To The Dewan

~~The Secy. to the Govt.~~ of Mysore,
~~Gen. & Rev. Depts.~~

Dated 21st May 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 2512
No.

Enclosure No. /.

To the Commr. Rs 145-9-1/1/0.

Furnishing information ré the levy or otherwise of tolls on roads and bridges on jutkas and tongas in the Coorg Province and in the Madras Presidency.

References to be marked.

L 7

L. Bs. Bss:—
L. 7. 2543 92 recpt. post
rep
Bng
23/5/01

23/5/01.

Note put up 25/5.
Reply rec'd + put up 28/5

No. 2512

From

The FIRST ASSISTANT to the RESIDENT
in MYSORE

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 21st May 1901.

Sir,

I am directed to reply to your Secretary's letter No.9767-L.F.254-92 dated the 4th February 1901 asking for information as to the levy or otherwise of tolls on roads and bridges on jutkas and tongas in the Coorg Province and in the Madras Presidency.

2. In Coorg, which is actually carrying mails and exempt even of goods or passengers is the case cited in addition, and no distinction is drawn between Imperial and Local Fund roads in this respect.

3. In the Madras Presidency the practice is as described in the accompanying copy of letter No.760 L.Mis. dated the 10th May 1901 from the Secretary to the Government of Madras in the Local and Municipal Departments.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. Rice.

For Assistant to the Resident.

Copy of letter No.760 L.Mis. dated the 11th May 1901, from the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Local and Municipal Department, to the Resident in Mysore.

In reply to your letter No.1017 dated 20th February last, requesting to be furnished with certain information as to the levy of tolls on roads and bridges, on jutkas and tongas in the Madras Presidency, I am directed to state that under section 87 (2) of the Madras Local Boards' Act V of 1884, no tolls are payable for the passage of "Government stores and equipages"----an expression which covers jutkas and tongas belonging to the Postal Department, and that as tolls are leviable on vehicles and animals and not on commodities, the vehicles of the Postal Department are exempt from tolls both on the canal and main roads alone on passengers and goods in addition. This section of the Madras Local Boards' Act has however been amended by Act VI of 1900 which came into force on 1st April 1901, and as the words "Government stores and equipages" have been omitted, jutkas and tongas carrying mails are no longer exempt from tolls. There is no distinction in this matter between roads on Local Boards roads and roads maintained by Government.

"Copy"

Attested

A. [illegible]

15.

To,

The First Assistant
to the Hon'ble the
Resident in Mysore.

R.L 78

945

L.T.254-92 19/7/01.

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~a kind~~ reference to your letter No: 2512 dy the 21st May 1901, and to state before that the Durbar ~~proposes to introduce into~~ deals with the question of levying tolls on mail bags ~~within the territories of Mysore~~ the practice prevailing in the Madras Presidency since April 1901 of allowing no exemption from tolls in the case of or jutkas and tongas carrying mails.

I am to request the favour of you

Draft approved by 3rd Councillor submitted to the Dewan for approval.

H

16/7

Forwarding copy vide No 4285 dy 28/8/01 from the Same. L 568

They wish to ascertain the views of the Postal Deptt.

the views of the Post Master
General, Madras, as
to whether he has any
thing to say against this course being adopted.

I have &c.

Secretary. 17/7

12/7/1901

in accordance with the practice followed in Madras from April 1901. Bills are levied on mofussil parcels & Foreign in the Foreign Territories

132 17.VII 01

no 15 7.11

L368

3035
3-9-1901-2 Pol 321

From

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

To

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 28th August 1901

Despatched

~~Received~~

General No. 4285

No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding Copy of a letter from the Post master General, Madras re the levy of tolls on Jutkas and Tongas carrying mails in the Mysore State & requesting to inform the action which the Durbar proposes to take in the matter -

A.S. Rao
Offg
4-9-01

Memo exhts
Mad
5/9

References to be noted.

Rec'd
Please obtain the refd & connected papers quoted in the Cash para & return
Mad
5/9

---

put up the Govt's G.O. No. 82 of the 7th June in the Post Master Genl's

Mad
6/9

Records

Please put up the Regd

Mad
7/9

Orr:- Mr Gupta connected can't once Mr Rao need not to take a copy

Srinivasaiah will please take a copy. Call 7.9.01

not all Rec be asked to put up a duly notification as.

Mad
9/9

MGCPB—2,000-30-7-01.

amount, exempted all vehicles and animals employed on His Majesty's mail service whether actually carrying mails or not from paying tolls, and the same procedure is, I am informed, in force in Bengal, Punjab and North West Provinces.

No. 4285

The Resident's Office.
Bangalore, 25 August 1901.

Copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded to the Dewan of Mysore with reference to his Secretary's letter No. 945/L.F.254-92 dated the 19th July 1901, with a request that the officiating Resident may be informed of the action which the Durbar proposes to take in the matter.

2. The letter from the Chief Commissioner of Coorg quoted in the Post Master General's letter, gave cover to Mr. Anantasami Rao's letter No.21159-g.5504 dated 22nd May 1895.

First Assistant Resident.

Copy of letter No.O.R.7-1/23742 dated the 14th August 1901, from the Post Master General, Madras, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.3706 dated 27th July 1901 enquiring if there is any objection to the levy of tolls on jutkas and tongas carrying mails in the Mysore Stat I have the honor to solicit a reference to correspondence ending with No.808/E.1, dated 29-5-95 from the Chief Commissioner of Coorg and to state that if tolls are levied on mail tongas, the mail contractor (Mr.Pallonjee) who conveys mails bet een Mysore and Mercara will in all probability either require an additional subsidy from Government or relinquish the contract. The measure would also have the effect of keeping back other persons who may otherwise be willing to convey mails in jutkas or tongas under contract with this department.

2. As the subsidy usually given does not much exceed the cost of the existing runner establishments, it has always been a matter of great difficulty to get contractors for the conveyance of mails in localities where they have to pay tolls. I am therefore addressing the Government of Madras in view to these contractors being exempted from paying tolls and I request that the Resident will be pleased to move the Durbar for a similar exemption in the Mysore Province.

3. I may here mention that the Government of Bombay have in their notification No.82 dated 7-6-01 Public Works Department

241

Public Works Department

Bombay Castle, 7th June 1901

No 82.— In exercise of the power conferred by Section 5 of the Tolls Act (Bombay III of 1875), and in ~~sup~~ supression of all previous notifications on the subject, His Excellency the Governor in Council, is pleased to direct that, from the 1st day of July 1901, the following shall be the exemptions from liability to pay the tolls leviable under the aforesaid act:-

I Exemptions from payment of tolls, made by Section 3 of the Indian Tolls (Army) Act, 1901, as under:-

(a) all officers and soldiers of —

(ii) any local corps, or
(iii) Imperial Service Troops,
when on duty or on the march;

(b) all members of a corps of Volunteers when on duty or when proceeding to ~~from their place of residence~~, or returning from duty;

(c) all officers and soldiers of the Indian Reserve Forces when proceeding from their place of residence on being called out for training or service, or when proceeding back to their place of residence after such training or service;

(d) all grass-cutters when employed in the service of ——
(i) His Majesty's Regular Forces,
(ii) any local corps,
(iii) Imperial Service Troops, or
(iv) any Corps of Volunteers,

when they accompany any body of such Forces, Troops or Volunteers or any members of such Corps on the march, or when they are otherwise moving under the orders of Military authority;

(f) all members of the families of officers, soldiers, or authorized followers of —

(i) His Majesty's Regular Forces, or

(ii) any local Corps

when accompanying any body of troops, or any officer, soldier, or authorized follower thereof on duty or on the march;

(g) all prisoners under Military escort;

(h) the horses and baggage, and the persons (if any) employed in carrying the baggage, of any persons exempted under any of the foregoing Clauses,

when such ~~person~~ horses, baggage,

or persons accompanying persons so exempted under the circumstances mentioned in those clauses, respectively;

(c) all carriages and horses belonging to his Majesty, or employed in his Majesty's Military Service, and all persons in charge of or accompanying the same, when conveying any such persons as hereinbefore in this section mentioned, or when conveying baggage or stores, or when returning unladen from conveying such persons, baggage or stores.

(J) all carriages and horses, when moving under the orders of Military Authority for the purpose of being employed in his Majesty's Military Service;

(K) all animals accompanying any body of troops which are intended to be slaughtered for food or kept

any purpose connected with provisioning of such troops; and

(d) all persons in charge of any carriage, horse or animal exempted under any of the foregoing clauses when accompanying the same under the circumstances mentioned in those clauses, respectively.

2. His Excellency the Governor General and His Excellency the Governor, with their camps.

3 Foreign rulers or Native Chiefs and their followers or retainers, also other Natives of distinction whom Government, the Commissioner, or the Collector may see fit, for special reasons to exempt.

4 Cultivators, wood-cutters, grass-cutters, and the like who have daily or constantly to pass the toll on their way to this occupation (the precise term of this exemption is left to the decision of the Collector).

their escorts and luggage.

Carts whether belonging to Government 6
or a contractor, going to and fro, laden
or empty, in the course of employment
for the ~~easy~~ conveyance of material
for use in connection with public
works constructed or maintained
by Imperial, Provincial, or Local Funds

Peons of all departments wearing 7
their belts.

All vehicles and animals employed 8
on His Majesty's Mail Service, whether
actually carrying mails or not.

Vehicles plying to and from Govern- 9.
ment cattle farm service and all
animals belonging to such farms.

Stray cattle when being taken 10.
to a pound.

Village cattle passing the toll daily 11
for grazing etc

al Fund Overseers and other subordinates when travelling on duty in connection with the road on which the tolls are levied.

13. Every Government Servant actually travelling on duty shall be exempt from payment as regards the vehicle in which he may be driving or the animal on which he may be riding. This exemption does not extend to any cart conveying the Kit or office records of the said Government servant.

By order of His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor in Council

J. Tate
Secretary to Government

5977

To

C. L. S. Russell Esqr. I.C.S.
First Asstt: to the
Honble the British Resident
in Mysore

R 1564
5977
AF 254-92

From the Secretary
Govt of India
561 98 15/11/01 S.E.I.

Sir,

With reference to your
Memo no 4285 dated the
20th August 1901 forwarding a
copy of ~~the~~ letter no O.R.7-1/23742
dated the 14th idem ~~containing~~
~~the views of~~ from the Postmaster Genl.
Madras, on the ~~Durbar's suggestion~~ subject
of levying tolls on mail tongas,
I am directed to state that
the Durbar have deferred taking
further action in the matter
until the decision of the
Govt of Madras, referred to in
para 2 of the letter cited above,
is known.

I am, therefore, to
request the favor of

after the same shall have been
arrived at.

(3rd Crs Order)

I have to

Secty.

2112

5 x 87

L81
Vol 76

50

6340 1901-2

From Dpt 8-11-01

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

To

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated

Despatched 15.11.01

Received

General No. 5619

No.

Enclosure No.

File

Serial

Forwarding a copy of a letter from the D.M.G. Madras asking for the exemption of Mail Carts from paying tolls.

5

A-Ch
Dpt 19/11/01
Noted
Recd 19/11/01

References to be marked.

MGCPB—2,000-30-7-01.

Copy of letter No.C.R.7-1/37446 dated the 5th November 1901, from the Post Master General, Madras, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

15/11/01

16.XI.01

With reference to your letter No.5129 dated 15th October 1901, I have the honour to state for the information of the Resident that the Government of Madras have in their proceedings No.1791 L.Mis. dated 23rd October 1901 remarked that they are not prepared to legislate for the exemption of mail carts from paying tolls as suggested by me. I would request however that under the circumstances explained in my previous letter, the Resident will be pleased to move the Darbar to exempt mail carts from paying tolls as is the case in Bombay, Bengal, Punjab and North West Provinces.

No. 569

The Resident's Office.
Bangalore, 15th November 1901.

Copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded to the Dewan of Mysore with reference to his Secretary's letter No. 5977-L.F. 254-92 dated the 8th October 1901, with a request that the officiating Resident may be informed of the action taken in the matter.

L Rice

First Assistant Resident.
69

2 drafts

Read again -

Letter no 276 dated the 27th October 1900 from the President of the D.F. Board, Kadwa Circle, soliciting instructions as to whether and jatkas conveying mails and private passengers in addition should be exempted from payment of tolls when passing the Kadana Toll-gate

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter no 281 dated the 31st December 1900 from the same officer furnishing additional information on the subject

Letter no 5619 of 15-11-01 from the First Asst to the Hon'ble the Resident in Kathiawar, ~~and the Order thereon~~

From the information received through the Residency it is clear that the rights

* Sl 15 in L-F 254 of 92.

(Sl 18) — —

As it is represented that the levying of tolls on mail carts in which horses are also carried is

dis 5
R.L. 1185

11501-2 of 10/1/1902
27/1/1902

L-F 254-92

is not same in ~~the~~ inconvenience to Postal D

Coorg and the Madras Presidency and that while, in Coorg, mail tongas are exempted from payment of tolls even though goods and private passengers are carried in addition, no such exemption is shown in the Madras Presidency. It has also been ascertained that the Madras Govt declined to legislate for the exemption recommended by the Post Master General, while it is said that such exemption is allowed in Bombay, Bengal & North West Provinces.

Govt are pleased direct that such be exempted from on all the roads in the ~~disposal~~ maintained by whether ~~both~~ ~~Provincial~~ ~~State~~ Local Fund such exemption Con tinues in force till it is withdrawn by Govt on its discre

9/1/

1 The point for consideration, then, is whether the Govt should adopt the Coorg system or introducing the new system prevailing in the Madras Presidency. The Post-Master-General represents in his letter addressed to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, that if tolls are

~~on the State~~

withdraw the exemption now allowed by

levied on Mail Tongas

mail contractor, who

mails between Mystone and

Macara, with in all

either require an additional

subsidy from Govt or relinquish

the contract, (2) that the measure

would also have the effect of

keeping back other persons, who

may otherwise be willing to convey

mails in jutkhas or tongas under

contract with the Postal department

and (3) that as the subsidy given

usually given does not much

exceed the cost of the existing

summer establishment, it has

always been a matter of great

difficulty to get contractors for

the conveyance of mails in

localities where they have to

pay tolls

3. Having regard to

the inconvenience brought to

notice by the Post-Master-

General, Government are

pleased to allow the exemp-

tion for the present, but

It should
stood that the Government
reserve full liberty to withdraw
the exemption at any time
without assigning reasons

JB
8/1/1902

Secty
8/1/02

To
The President, D.F. Board
Kaiwi Fields.
Do Keystone Fields.
R.L. 1186

Memo N 11503-9 8/1/1902
L.L. 254—92 29/1902
Copy to the President
of the D.F. Boards of other
Fields for information and
guidance "By order"

For Secty

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Read again:-

Letter No.276 dated the 27th October 1900, from the President of the District Fund Board, Kadur Circle, soliciting instructions as to whether tongas and jatkas conveying Mails and private passengers in addition should be exempted from payment of tolls when passing the Tadasa Toll-gate.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.381 dated the 31st December 1900, from the same officer, furnishing additional information on the subject.

Letter No.5613 dated 15th November 1901, from the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

[illegible]

Order thereon. No. 11501-2 / 17,254-92

Dated Bangalore, 10th January 1902.

(At yet issued) 10/1

As it is represented that the levying of tolls on Mail carts in which passengers are also carried is likely to result in some inconvenience to the Postal Department, Government are pleased to direct that such carts be exempted from tolls on all the roads in the State, whether maintained by local or state funds, such exemption continuing in force, till it is withdrawn by Government at their discretion.

Sd./ [illegible]

Secretary.

To

The President, District Fund Board, Kadur Circle.
Do. Do. [illegible]

C.O. No. 11503-9 / 17,254-92

Copy to the Presidents of the Mysore and [illegible] Fund Boards and other circles for information and guidance.

[illegible]
Secy.

To

C. L. S. Russell Esqr I.C.S
First Assistant to the
Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 5619 dated the 15th November 1901 requesting that the Hon'ble the Officiating Resident may be informed of the action taken by the Durbar in the matter of exempting mail carts in which passengers are also carried from payment of tolls I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Govt Proys: No. 11501-2 F.254-92 dated 10th January 1902 [illegible] and to say

Secty's order.-
(Fair draft also be kept in file).

Hon'ble Fin. 1188

12377 / F.254-92 / 27/1/1902

Fin: me

[illegible] in consequence was brought forward

to state that, having regard to the inconvenience brought to notice by the Post-Master-General in his letter No. O.R7-1/23742 dated the 14th August 1901 addressed to your address, the Darbar have exempted such mail carts from payment of tolls on all the roads in the State whether maintained by Local or State Funds, or on the distinct understanding that such exemption is liable to be withdrawn at any time without assigning reasons.

2. I am to add that the Darbar note that the Madras Govt have declined to legislate for such exemption.

I have to

+ mails, the Darbar have in the above order, exempted such mail carts from paying tolls in any of the roads in the

does not appear to be a serious one, and the Darbar note that the Madras Govt have declined to admit its sufficiency for special legislation to create any exemption on behalf of the Post still with a view to afford such facilities as may be possible during the pendency of Contracts already entered into for the conveyance of mails. I am however to add that this exemption is given as a temporary Concession merely, and that the Darbar reserve the right to withdraw it at any time without assigning reasons for such a course.

10/1

JD 10/1. Secty 10/1/02

Office of the Dewan of Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, January 1902.

No.

From

H.V.Nanjundayya, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

To

C.L.S.Russell, Esquire, I.C.S.,

First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident

in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No.5619 dated the 15th: November 1901, requesting that the Hon'ble the Officiating Resident may be informed of the action taken by the Darbar in the matter of exempting mail carts in which passengers are also carried, from payment of tolls, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Government Proceedings No. 11501-2 dated 10th January 1902 issued on the subject.
R.F. 254-92.

2. The inconvenience brought to notice by the Post Master General in his letter No.O.R. 7-1/23742 dated the 14th: August 1901 to your address does not appear to be a serious one, and the Darbar note that the Madras Government have declined to admit its sufficiency for special legislation to create any exemption on behalf of the Post Office. Still with a view to afford such facility as may be possible during the pendency of contracts already entered into for the conveyance of Mails, the Darbar have in the above order exempted such Mail carts from paying tolls on any of the roads in the State.

3. I am however to add that this exemption is meant as a temporary concession only, and that the Government

the right to withdraw it at any time without assigning reasons for such a course.

5234
23.1.02

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

24/1

Secretary.

No 25/1/02

Compd: